United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,911	08/28/2006	Yasunori Ando	03327.2356	4392	
	7590 01/16/2008 FNDFRSON FARARO	W GARRETT & DINNER	ЕХАМ	INER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			DINH, THU HUONG T		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		PAPER NUMBER			
·			2812		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		<u> </u>		
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/590,911	ANDO, YASUNORI	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Thu-Huong Dinh	2812	
۔۔ Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app • Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHC WHICI - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Detriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	<u>ugust 2006</u> .		
2a)□ ¯	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 🤻	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
(closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositio	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> are subject to restriction and/or el			
Applicatio	n Papers			
10)□ T , F	he specification is objected to by the Examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception and request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) A a) C 1 2	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau te the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s	5)	_		
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P		

10/590,911 Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-5 are, drawn to an article of manufacturing an ion beam irradiation apparatus, classified in class 257, subclass 1+.
 - Claim 6 is, drawn to method of manufacturing an ion beam irradiation apparatus classified in class 438, subclass 510.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). The product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, for example, the method cited locating said ion source at an angle at which said ion source is opposed to said beam measuring instrument; meanwhile, the device claim is silent about the ion source's angle.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number:

10/590,911 Art Unit: 2812

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu-Huong Dinh whose telephone number is 571 272-9014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (8:30AM-5:00PM Eastern).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on 571 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/590,911 Art Unit: 2812 Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

thd

1/7/2008

MICHAEL LEBENTRITT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER